

IP management: Brand royalties for a fuel company

In the sixth in a series of 10 articles on tax-effective intellectual property (IP) management, **Bastian Gottschling**, **Philip de Homont**, and **Alexander Voegelé** of NERA Frankfurt present a case study on brand royalties for a fuel company.

How do you prove that motorists are willing to pay not just for fuel, but also for a brand? This question arose for a large multinational fuel company when tax authorities in one major country denied the deductibility of brand royalties that the company was charging to its downstream subsidiaries worldwide.

The tax authorities argued that motorists would not pay one cent for the brand and only cared about the location of fuel stations, the price of the fuel, the friendliness of service staff, and the presence of convenient stores – but not about the brand.

In turn the fuel company asked NERA to find out if motorists would pay extra for the brand. Our approach was based on a simple principle that was carried out rigorously: We directly asked motorists how much more they would pay through a consumer survey.

The survey was conducted at the company's fuel stations on several sites across the country. Interviewees were presented with a hypothetical alternative fuel station of an unfamiliar brand, but otherwise equal characteristics. They were asked how often they would refuel there and what discounts would be needed to make them switch to the alternative station.

Various characteristics of both the fuel stations and the interviewees needed to be taken into account to eliminate the effects of location, the time of day (due to commuting routes), and the presence of convenience stores, among others, and to ensure a good sample. An appropriate spread of interviewees encompassing potentially relevant demographic factors, such as gender, age, and occupation, was ensured.

We found that the brand was in fact important to motorists, and that considerable discounts would be needed to compel them switch to other stations. The implication is that they were indeed willing to pay more for the branded fuel. More importantly even, motorists were not only willing to pay more at the branded stations, but they also refuelled there more frequently.



Bastian Gottschling
NERA

Konrad-Adenauer-Str. 17
60313 Frankfurt
Germany
Tel: +49 69 710 447 504
Email: bastian.gottschling@nera.com
Website: www.nera.com

Bastian Gottschling works as a senior consultant in the area of intellectual property valuation and transfer pricing at NERA. He has extensive experience in analysing contributions of individual components to a business, and in evaluating risk profiles and returns associated with a particular strategic focus and (mid- to long-term) investment decisions.

Before joining NERA, Bastian worked in the utilities team at Rothschild. He holds an MPhil in economics from the University of Cambridge, Queens' College, and a BSc in economics from the London School of Economics.



Philip de Homont
NERA

Konrad-Adenauer-Straße 17
60313 Frankfurt
Germany
Tel: +49 69 710 447 502
Fax: +49 69 710 447 550
Email: philip.de.homont@nera.com
Website: www.nera.com

Philip de Homont works as an economic analyst in NERA's transfer pricing and intellectual property practice. He specialises in assessing bargaining situations and valuation of intellectual property in various industries.

Recent project experience at NERA includes pricing strategy research projects on pharmaceutical drugs and telecommunication infrastructure as well as brand evaluations.

De Homont studied economics at the University of Warwick, from which holds his diploma degree in economic and physics at the University of Wuppertal and the Technical University of Munich from which he received the diploma in physics.

Brand royalty

In economic theory these effects relate to the concepts of price- and quantity-premiums that firms enjoy as a brand changes the demand curve for their products. NERA calculated the ensuing benefit to the local fuel stations, controlling for respondents' consumption patterns, such as average commuting or travel distances and the type of fuel purchased in a random sample. Variable costs had to be subtracted from the additional sales volume, while the price-premium could be directly translated into a commercial benefit.

In a final step we analysed the different contributions to the estimated brand value and distinguished between global branding by the HQ, local branding and research and development to identify the benefit of global branding.

The consumer survey and economic analysis revealed the substantial benefit that the global brand brought for the local subsidiary in its market. This was translated into a brand royalty.

The identified brand royalty is fair and based on real-world data, gathered directly in the market. It was proven that the charged royalty was within a reasonable range. Sales entities continue royalty payments to the principal in a tax efficient country, and as a result, taxes are reduced significantly.



Alexander Voegele
NERA

Konrad-Adenauer-Str. 17
60313 Frankfurt
Germany

Tel: +49 69 710 447 501

Fax: +49 69 710 447 550

Email: alexander.voegele@nera.com

Website: www.nera.com

Alexander Voegele has more than 25 years of experience in transfer pricing. He has led hundreds of transfer pricing projects and provided economic advice on numerous defense cases for a variety of clients in a wide range of industries.

He edits the leading German handbook on transfer pricing, and is repeatedly listed among the "Best of the Best" transfer pricing advisers by International Tax Review and Euromoney.

Before taking his position as chairman of the advisory board with NERA Frankfurt, he was a partner with both PwC and KPMG.

Voegele is a certified German and French auditor and a German tax adviser and holds a doctoral degree in economics and a master's degree in tax from the University of Mannheim (Germany).